2008 Current Fiscal Year Report: United States European Command Senior Advisory Group

Report Run Date: 06/05/2019 06:41:27 AM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

Department of Defense 2008

3. Committee or Subcommittee 3b. GSA Committee No.

United States European Command Senior Advisory Group 16576

4. Is this New During Fiscal 5. Current 6. Expected Renewal 7. Expected Term

Year? Charter Date Date

No 05/03/2007 05/03/2009 05/02/2009

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific Termination 8c. Actual Term

FiscalYear? Authority Date

Yes 09/30/2008

9. Agency Recommendation for Next10a. Legislation Req to 10b. Legislation

FiscalYear Terminate? Pending?

Terminate No

11. Establishment Authority Agency Authority

12. Specific Establishment 13. Effective 14. Committee 14c.

Authority Date Type Presidential?

Secretary of Defense Determination 05/03/2003 Continuing No

15. Description of Committee Other Committee

16a. Total Number of No Reports for this

Reports FiscalYear

17a. Open 0 17b. Closed 2 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 2 Meetings and Dates

 Purpose
 Start
 End

 Organizational Meeting to determine scope of work and if any reports will be filed
 12/03/2007 - 12/03/2007

To discuss Missle Defense, Israel Palestine Conflict and future NATO Countries. All discussions were at the Secret Level.

07/06/2008 - 07/07/2008

Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 2

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$10,000.00	\$10,000.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$25,000.00	\$25,000.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$35,000.00	\$50,000.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$10,000.00	\$15,000.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$3,000.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$15,000.00	\$15,000.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

At a time of fundamental and rapid transformation within the DOD, the U.S. European Command is looking for insight into the major issues across their areas of responsibility which will help them map the road ahead. Provide guidance and assistance to the U.S. European Command on subjects related to basing, strategy, and political matters.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The committee will be balanced among military and civilian national security experts, academicians, and civilian leaders in the public sector.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

This committee plans to meet up to four times per year.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The U.S. European Command needs the challenge of diverse and divergent views on their operations to assist them in planning for the future. The Command does not have the staff or expertise to provide them the depth and breadth of information on the 93 countries which fall within their area of responsibility.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

In accordance with 5 USC, App. 2, Section 1, et seq. and Executive Order 12958 of 17 April 1995; the meetings of this committee will be evaluated per the forecasted agenda for closure as required based on the classification level of material presented for review. The closed meetings will be announced in the Federal register.

21. Remarks

The committee issued no separate reports for fiscal year 2006; however, it did make several recommendations to the committee's decision maker, which were contained in the committee's meeting minutes. The Department of Defense is terminating this discretionary advisory committee effective September 30, 2008.

Designated Federal Officer

James W. Herron Chief, Operations; EUCOM Liaison Office; Joint Staff

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Boyd, Charles 10	10/01/2007	09/30/2009	USAF(Ret); President/CEO Business Executives for	Special Government Employee
			National Security	(SGE) Member

Crouch I D	10/01/2007 10/21/20	10/21/2000 Former Deputy National Cogurity Advisor	Special Government Employee
Crouch, J. D.	J. D. 10/01/2007 10/31/2009 Former Deputy National Security Advisor		(SGE) Member
Davis,	10/01/2007 09/30/20	Executive VP of the Institute for Foreign Policy	Special Government Employee
Jacquelyn	10/01/2007 09/30/20	Analysis	(SGE) Member
Hand, Lloyd 11/30/2	11/20/2007 10/21/20	10/31/2009 Senior Counsel King & Spalding LLC	Special Government Employee
	11/30/2007 10/31/20		(SGE) Member
Hunter, Robert 10/01/2007	10/01/2007 09/30/20	09/30/2009	Regular Government Employee
	10/01/2007 09/30/20		(RGE) Member
Joulwan, George 10/01/2007	10/01/2007 00/20/20	09/30/2009 USA Ret; former CINCEUR and SACEUR	Special Government Employee
	10/01/2007 09/30/20		(SGE) Member
Pickering,	10/01/2007 09/30/20	Senior VP for International Relations, Boeing	Special Government Employee
Thomas	10/01/2007 09/30/20	Executive Council	(SGE) Member
Ullman, Harlan	10/01/2007 09/30/20	2009 Senior Associate, Center for Naval Analysis	Special Government Employee
	10/01/2007 09/30/20		(SGE) Member
Ulrich, Henry	01/01/2008 01/01/20	/2010 Retired Admiral NAVEUR	Special Government Employee
	01/01/2000 01/01/20		(SGE) Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 9

Narrative Description

None

The U.S. EUCOM Senior Advisory Group (SAG) met at Supreme Headquarters Allied Powers Europe and HQ USEUCOM in April 2006 for briefings and discussions concerning key theater and transformational objectives. The members of the SAG provided specific guidance and analysis concerning USEUCOM's relationship with these countries as well as theater transformation, security cooperation, and USEUCOM's outreach strategy.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies
Improvements to health or safety	✓
Trust in government	
Major policy changes	✓
Advance in scientific research	
Effective grant making	
Improved service delivery	
Increased customer satisfaction	✓
Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements	✓
Other	
Outcome Comments	
NA	
What are the cost savings associated with this committee?	

Checked if Applies

Unable to Determine	✓
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	

Cost Savings Comments

Since many initiatives in our AOR are on-going, it is difficult to say what the cost savings will be to DoD.

What is the approximate <u>Number</u> of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

10

Number of Recommendations Comments

The recommendations from the SAG members reflect different strategies on how EUCOM can pursue its objectives with the private sector and various interagencies. During this fiscal year the committee only met once and there were no specific recommendations provided to the government's decision maker. It should be noted that the government's decision maker was retiring and the committee deferred additional considerations until appointment of a new EUCOM commander.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency? 30%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

Since we just had another meeting on 3 Oct 2006, it is hard to determine what percentage of the above recommendations will be fully implemented by EUCOM. Ultimately, DoD, National Interests and funding determines our success.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency?

30%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

Again, most of the issues that the committee gave recommendations on are in the infancy stage. Since the last meeting was 3 Oct 2006, it is hard to determine what percentage will actually be partially implemented by EUCOM. Ultimately, DoD, National Interests and funding determines our success.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to

implement recommendations or advice offered?	
Yes No Not Applicable	
Agency Feedback Comments	
Either in a memo or at the next meeting, all the members are give	n a status update on
open items or if an item has been closed.	
What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the co	mmittee's advice or
recommendation?	
	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	✓
Reallocated resources	✓
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	
Other	
Action Comments	
Based upon the experience and knowledge of the members on ou	, , , ,
propose suggestions and recommendations on how the AOR sho	uld look at issues and
how to achieve success when addressing these issues.	
	anta O
Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for gr	ants?
INO	
Grant Review Comments	
NA	
How is access provided to the information for the Committee	's documentation?
•	Checked if Applies
Contact DFO	✓
Online Agency Web Site	
Online Committee Web Site	✓

Online GSA FACA Web Site	
Publications	
Other	

Access Comments

Information pertaining to this committee is available from the Designated Federal Officer or the GSA's FACA Web Site.